

Sri H. SIDDAVEERAPPA (Minister for Health).—

(a) Yes.

(b) Yes ; will be taken up after the relaxation of economy orders.

Staff quarters for the Employees of Chickballapur General Hospital

286. **Sri C. V. VENKATARAYAPPA (Chickballapur).—**

Will the Minister for Health be pleased to state :—

When the Government would commence construction of staff quarters for the employees of the Chickballapur General Hospital for which plans and estimates had already been approved nearly two years ago ?

Sri H. SIDDAVEERAPPA (Minister for Health).—

After the ownership dispute of the Local Fund Dispensary building is settled.

Admission of more than one Calling Attention Notices.

MADAM SPEAKER.—Now Calling Attention Notice given by Sri Byre Gowda, Sri Bangarappa, Sri V. N. Patil, Sri Sandra and Sri Ramaswamy.

Sri B. B. SAYANAK (Belgaum).—I rise on a Point of Order, regarding the Calling Attention Motion. According to the Rules of Procedure and Conduct of Business—Rule 63 Sub—Rule 3, not more than one such matter shall be raised at the same time and at the same sitting. To-day, in the agenda, two calling attentions are inserted. According to the procedure it is not allowed and you are creating a bad precedent.

1-00 P.M.

MADAM SPEAKER.—The Rules of Procedure permit only one calling attention but just to accommodate the Members I have allowed two.

Sri B. B. SAYANAK.—From the very beginning you are doing so.

MADAM SPEAKER.—We will take up only one call attention notice if the hon. members so desire.

Sri H. D. DEVE GOWDA (Holenarsipur).—One calling attention motion could be taken up in the morning and one more could be included in the agenda and taken up within the day itself, if the Chair so desires. The time has to be fixed.

ಅಧ್ಯಕ್ಷರು.—ಮಾನ್ಯ ಸದಸ್ಯರಿಗೆ ಗೊತ್ತಿರುವಹಾಗೆ ದಿನ ಒಬ್ಬೊಬ್ಬರು ನಿಂತುಕೊಂಡು ಅವರು ಕೊಟ್ಟಿರತಕ್ಕ ಕಾಲಿಂಗ್ ಅರ್ಜಿಗಳನ್ನೇ ನೋಟೀಸುಗಳು ಬಹಳ ಅರ್ಜಿಗಳಾಗಿವೆ, ಅವನ್ನು ಜಾಗೃತ ತೆಗೆದುಕೊಳ್ಳಬೇಕೆಂದು ಹೇಳುತ್ತಿದ್ದರು. I have therefore included two, just to accommodate the Members.

Sri H. D. DEVE GOWDA.—There have been several occasions when there were two call attention motions; one is taken up after the question hour and the remaining one taken up in the course of the day, whenever the Hon. Speaker permits an hon. Member to call attention to a matter of urgent public importance. This is the practice.

Sri M. S. KRISHNAN (Malleswaram).—Let not an impression be created that we want only one call attention. Even if we have three call attentions we are not opposed to it. Now, it is left to your discretion.

Sri H. S. SIDDAPPA (Arsikere).—When once it has been included in the agenda, it has to be taken up.

MADAM SPEAKER.—I agree.

Calling Attention to Matters of Urgent Public Importance.

(i) *re:* Lockout of Tiwac Industries Limited, Dharwar.

ಶ್ರೀ ಸಿ. ಚೈರೇಗೌಡ (ವೇಮಗರ).—ನಾನು ಟೈವಾಕ್ ಇಂಡಸ್ಟ್ರೀಸ್ ರಾಕ್‌ಔಟ್ ವಿಚಾರದ ಬಗ್ಗೆ ಮಾನ್ಯ ಸಚಿವರ ಗಮನವನ್ನು ಸೆಳೆಯುತ್ತೇನೆ.

Sri AZEEZ SAIT (Minister for Labour).—Sir, I state as follows.—The General Secretary, Tiwac Industries Workers' Union raised an Industrial dispute through a charter of demands dated 19th January 1972 demanding the revision of wages on the basis of the recommendation of the Central Wage Board for Engineering Industry. Another Union, namely Tiwac Employees' Union had also raised a similar demand. The conciliation proceedings failed. In the meanwhile, the workers started relay hunger strikes. It was also alleged that the Management locked-out the factory from 21st April 1972. On 26th April 1972 the Minister for Labour visited Dharwar and advised the workers to give up hunger strike and the Management to lift the lockout. While the workers gave up hunger strike, the advice of the Minister appeared to have had no effect on the Management. The Government after examination of the matter in all its aspects referred the demand for increased wages for adjudication to the Additional Industrial Tribunal, Bangalore, and in addition prohibited the lock-out declared by the Management.